

Scope of policy

- My initial policy draft covered:
 - Privacy issues for:
 - Contacts
 - Resource holders
 - Verification of contact details



Discussions

- Over the summer separate discussions on the 3 areas:
 - Contacts
 - Resource holders
 - Verification
- Each topic had supporters and objectors



Human nature

- People focus more on negativity that positivity
- RIPE community is no exception
- Objectors shout from the rooftops
- Supporters sit in the basement quietly getting on with work
- Looking back over recent years, most policy discussions follow this pattern (by a handful of people)
- Positivity can be swamped by the loudness of negativity, not necessarily the amount or quality
- Support can be lost in a sea of negativity



Scope width

- The scope was too wide for a single policy proposal
- We needed extended discussion periods
- RIPE NCC needed long period for impact analysis
- We will need extended review periods
- There is a message here....



Reduce scope

- The scope needs to be reduced to keep focused during the PDP stages
- Drop verification from 2022-01
- Focus directly on privacy
- Come back to verification at later date (maybe)
- PDP allows for a revised policy and impact analysis at the end of review phase
- For now just ignore verification references



However...

- I believe the intent of this proposal is good
- I don't think the current wording is good enough
- Interested to here views on recent mailing list exchange



Questions?

